

28 JUN 2006



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Carter J. White
Legal Department
5950 North Course Drive
Houston, Texas 77072

In re Application of :
CARRIER, et al. :
Serial No.: 10/517,332 : DECISION ON PETITION
PCT No.: PCT/GB04/02863 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Int. Filing Date: 22 July 2004 :
Priority Date: 12 August 2003 :
Atty Docket No.: PA-00422US1 :
For: ELECTRICAL TREATMENT FOR OIL :
BASED DRILLING OR COMPLETION :
FLUIDS :

This decision is issued in response to applicant's "Petition under Rule 37 CFR 1.47(a) for Acceptance of Application Where Joint Inventor Cannot Be Located to Join in Application for Patent" filed 12 April 2006 to accept the application without the signature of joint inventor, Michelle Bridget Carrier.

BACKGROUND

On 22 July 2004, applicant filed international application PCT/GB04/02863 which claimed priority to a previous application filed 12 August 2003. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.495, the deadline for payment of the basic national fee in the United States was to expire 30 months from the priority date, 12 February 2006.

On 09 December 2004, applicant filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States, which was accompanied by the requisite basic national fee as required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1); a copy of the international application; a preliminary amendment; and an Information Disclosure Statement.

On 07 June 2005, the United States Designated/Elected Office mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating that an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b) must be filed.

On 12 April 2006, applicant filed the present petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) to accept the application without the signature of joint inventor Michelle Bridget Carrier.

DISCUSSION

A petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) must be accompanied by (1) the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(g), (2) factual proof that the missing joint investor refuses to execute the application or cannot be reached after diligent effort, (3) a statement of the last known address of the missing inventor, and (4) an oath or declaration by each 37 CFR 1.47(a) applicant on his or her own behalf and on behalf of the non-signing joint inventor. Applicant has satisfied items (1), (3) and (4).

Applicant states in the present petition that Michelle Bridget Carrier is unavailable to execute the application, however, it appears by the evidence presented that Michelle Bridget Carrier has refused to execute the application. Section 409.03(d) of the MPEP, **Proof of Unavailability or Refusal**, states, in part:

Where a refusal of the inventor to sign the application papers is alleged, the circumstances of the presentation of the application papers and of the refusal must be specified in a statement of facts by the person who presented the inventor with the application papers and/or to whom the refusal was made. Statements by a party not present when an oral refusal is made will not be accepted.

Proof that a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the nonsigning inventor for signature, but the inventor refused to accept delivery of the papers or expressly stated that the application papers should not be sent, may be sufficient. When there is an express oral refusal, that fact along with the time and place of the refusal must be stated in the statement of facts. When there is an express written refusal, a copy of the document evidencing that refusal must be made part of the statement of facts. The document may be redacted to remove material not related to the inventor's reasons for refusal.

When it is concluded by the 37 CFR 1.47 applicant that a nonsigning inventor's conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in the statement of facts in support of the petition or directly in the petition. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the petition or in any statement of facts, such evidence should be submitted. Whenever a nonsigning inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the petition.

Petitioner provided sufficient information that a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of the application papers to the nonsigning inventor, however, the petition and accompanying papers does not provide first hand knowledge that the nonsigning inventor has refused to execute the declaration and application. The statements made with regards to the efforts to reach Michelle Bridget Carrier constitutes secondhand knowledge,

in that the actions to which petitioner is averring to were conducted by Leah S. Bardin. Lastly, did petitioner or Ms. Bardin receive confirmation via DHL delivery certificate that Michelle Bridget Carrier received the application papers?

As stated above, where a refusal of the inventor to sign the application papers is alleged, a statement of facts is needed from a person having first hand knowledge of the facts that a complete copy of the application papers (specification, claims, and drawings) were sent to Michelle Bridget Carrier, and when such papers were sent. In addition, copies of documentary evidence such as a certified mail return receipt, cover letter of instruction, telegrams, etc., should be supplied with the declaration.

In light of the above, it is not possible to grant applicant's petition at this time.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Any reconsideration on the merits of this petition must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter should be directed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.



Anthony Smith
Attorney-Advisor
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Tel: (571) 272-3298
Fax: (571) 273-0459